The following is an Adobe Acrobat reproduction of the official

HRS DOCUMENTATION RECORD

for

Poly-Cycle Industries, Inc. Jacksonville, Texas

No graphics illustrations or copies of documents cited as references in the determination of the HRS score are included with this electronic version, but graphics are available with the print versions as part of the Poly-Cycle Industries, Inc. repository records

at
Jacksonville Public Library
502 South Jackson
Jacksonville, Texas

and/or
TNRCC Records Management Center
Austin, Texas

February 15, 2003

Scroll Down to View



HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM DOCUMENTATION RECORD

for

Poly-Cycle Industries, Inc. - Jacksonville A.K.A. Polycycle Industries, Inc. - Jacksonville Jacksonville, Cherokee County, Texas TXT# 490012671; TCEQ SWR# 67064

Prepared by:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Superfund Site Discovery and Assessment Program Austin, Texas

February 2003



HRS

DOCUMENTATION RECORD

Poly-Cycle Industries, Inc. - Jacksonville Jacksonville, Cherokee County, Texas

February 2003

Hazard Ranking System Documentation Record

Poly-Cycle Industries, Inc. - Jacksonville Jacksonville, Cherokee County, Texas TXT# 490012671; TCEQ SWR# 67064

Prepared by

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Site Assessment and Management Section
Superfund Site Discovery and Assessment Program
Austin, Texas

HRS DOCUMENTATION RECORD

POLY-CYCLE INDUSTRIES, INC. - JACKSONVILLE

JACKSONVILLE, CHEROKEE COUNTY, TEXAS

TXT# 490012671; TCEQ SWR# 67064

SIGNATURE PAGE

Gary L. Hazelwood Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Superfund Site Discovery and Assessment Program Project Manager	Date
John Syer Texas Commission on Environmental Quality QA/QC Officer	Date
Wesley G. Newberry Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Superfund Site Discovery and Assessment Program Team Leader	Date
David L. Davis Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Site Assessment and Management Section Section Manager	Date

CONTENTS

		Page
HRS Docu	mentation Record Cover Sheet	1
	ways of Concern	
	ways, Components, or Threats Not Evaluated	
	e to Reader	
HRS Docu	mentation Record	5
Site	Location	5
Site	Scoring Summary	5
Site Summ	ary	
6		
	eral Description of the site	
Site	History	7
Reference 1	Listings	13
Worksheet	for HRS Site Score	15
Grou	undwater Migration Pathway Scoresheet	16
Surf	ace Water Overland/Flood Migration Component Scoresheet	18
Soil	Exposure Pathway Scoresheet	22
	FIGURES	
Figure 1	Site Location Map	
Figure 2	City of Jacksonville Drinking Water Well Locations	9
Figure 3	Overland Drainage Pathway to PPE's Map	
Figure 4	Surface Water Pathway Map	
Figure 5	Areas of Observed Releases of Arsenic and Lead	12

HRS DOCUMENTATION RECORD - REVIEW COVER SHEET

Name of Site: Poly-Cycle Industries, Inc. - Jacksonville

Current Contact Person: Texas Farm Products, Inc. c/o Lone Star Feed Store (903) 586-2581

Documentation Record: Gary L. Hazelwood, TCEQ (903) 535-5108

Pathways of Concern: Groundwater, Surface Water, and Soil Exposure Pathways

Groundwater Pathway

The potential release of hazardous substances to the groundwater pathway is of concern for this site. A sampling event was conducted on February 24, 2000, by the TCEQ (Ref. 3, 4, and 5). On-site soil sample analysis results documented levels of lead ranging from 3,100 mg/Kg to 4,800 mg/Kg (Ref. 5). Jacksonville's drinking water supply is from a blended system (Ref. 6). The City of Jacksonville's five wells equally contribute to make up 40% of the blended water supply, with the remaining 60% from Lake Jacksonville. Jacksonville provides water to four rural water supply companies with a total of 30,000 people drinking their water (Ref. 6). The closest well to the site is 1.36 miles. Four of the city's five wells are located between 1 to 2 miles from the site, with the remaining well located just over three miles from the site (Ref. 6), see Figure 2. The four water bearing units, Queen City Sand, Recklaw Formation, Carrizo Sand, and Wilcox Group, are not readily distinguishable and are considered to be one unit. The distance from on-site hazardous substances to the top of the first aguifer is less than ten feet. Observed releases were documented at a depth of six feet during the SSI at sample locations SS-6 and SS-13 (Ref. 7). The depth to the Queen City aquifer is 14 feet per well number DJ-38-07-406 (Ref. 11, p. 112). No drinking water samples have been collected, therefore the groundwater pathway is being evaluated for potential impact from the site's contaminated soil.

Surface Water Pathway

The potential release of hazardous substances to the surface water pathway is of concern for this site. The overland drainage pathways to the PPEs reported in the E.P.A.'s PREscore Documentation Package, prepared by Ecology and Environment, Inc. (Ref. 7, p. 6) have been reevaluated and found to be errant. The overland drainage pathways do not flow to either Lake Jacksonville or Turnpike Creek. The overland drainage pathway flows from the site in both northerly and southerly directions and then both turn easterly to Ragsdale Creek, at two separate locations (Fig. 3). The southern overland drainage pathway distance to a PPE exceeds two miles. The northern overland drainage pathway includes several receptor targets. A sampling event was conducted on February 24, 2000, by the TCEQ. On-site soil sample analysis results documented levels of lead ranging from 3,100 mg/Kg to 4,800 mg/Kg. On February 24, 2000, 3100 mg/Kg of lead was documented in a drainage ditch subject to annual flooding (Ref. 4, Photograph 2; and 5). During March 4, 1991, 43,500

mg/Kg of lead was documented in the drainage ditch, at soil sample location SS-10 (Ref. 7, p. 5). The nearest PPE to the site is 0.35 miles along the northern drainage pathway, just east of State Highway 69. A Palustrine/Forested/Broad Leaved Deciduous Temporary (PFO1A) wetland follows both banks of the intermittent tributary to Sara Barnett's Lake for a perimeter length of 1.7 miles (Ref. 13), see Figure 3. The PPE to Sara Barnett's lake is 1.14 miles from the site (Fig. 3). Sarah Barnett's 6.4 acre lake is a fishery which is used by Sara Barnett's family, guests, and uninvited fishermen. The lake is also used by Mrs. Barnett's commercial livestock operation. The PPE to perennial Ragsdale Creek is 1.41 miles from the site along the northern drainage pathway. The PPE to perennial Ragsdale Creek is also the PPE to a PFO1A wetland that extends 1.1 miles downstream (Ref. 13), see Figure 3. The surface water pathway's 15 mile target distance limit (TDL) includes Ragsdale Creek, Keys Creek, and Mudd Creek, see Figure 4. Beyond the 15 mile TDL, Mudd Creek flows to the Angelina River. The Federally and State listed endangered Red Cockaded Woodpecker is known to be present in Cherokee County (Ref. 7, p. 6). No samples have been collected at any of the identified targets, therefore the surface water pathway is only being evaluated for potential impact from the site's contaminated soil.

Soil Exposure Pathway

The potential release of hazardous substances to the soil exposure pathway is of concern for this site. In 1983, the Texas Department of Health (TDH) collected on-site soil samples and found levels of lead exceeding 100,000 ppm (Ref. 7, p. 2). Poly-Cycle conducted a removal, in 1983, moving contaminated soil to the Tecula location. The TDH conducted a post removal sampling event documenting lead concentrations were generally less than 1,000 ppm and the TDH accepted closure of the property (Ref. 7, p. 2). The site was then sold to Texas Farm Products, Inc. which built a feed store, Lone Star Feed Store, which is currently in operation (Ref. 7, p. 2). An SSI sampling event was conducted on March 4, 1991. 43,500 mg/Kg of lead and 209 mg/Kg of arsenic were documented at soil sample locations SS-10 and SS-8 (Ref. 7, p. 5). On February 24, 2000, the TCEQ conducted a sampling event. Each on-site soil sample analysis indicated high levels of lead, ranging from 3,100 mg/Kg to 4,800 mg/Kg (Ref. 3, 4, and 5). There are on-site workers at the Lone Star Feed Store, and there is no access restriction to the site. Chips of battery casings are visible along the State Highway 69 roadside ditch (Ref. 4, Photograph 4). The closest residence is approximately 10 feet from the site (Fig. 5). SSI soil sample SS-14 was collected on the property line of the Poly-Cycle site and the residence and documented a level II release of lead 472 mg/Kg (Ref. 7). A chiropractic and fitness center was recently built on the site, including an outdoor swimming pool and volleyball court (Ref. 4, Photographs 5, and 11-13). The chiropractic and fitness center was built on level I contaminated soil as documented at soil sample SS-18, 163 mg/Kg arsenic and 393 mg/Kg lead (Ref. 7).

Pathways, Components, or Threats Not Evaluated:

Air Migration Pathway

The Air Migration Pathway is not being evaluated since the pathway score does not increase the site score. There is no observed release for the Air Migration Pathway.

(Although this pathway has not been evaluated, the TCEQ is concerned for all pathways surrounding the site. However, evaluation of this pathway would not have significantly increased the overall site score.)

NOTE TO READER

The following rule was used when citing references in the HRS Documentation Record:

The State predecessor agencies: Texas Water Quality Board (TWQB), Texas Department of Water Resources (TDWR), Texas Water Commission (TWC), Texas Air Control Board (TACB), and Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission (TNRCC) referred to throughout this report are now known as the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). The new agency, TCEQ, became effective September 1, 2002, as mandated under State House Bill 2912 of the 77th Regular Legislative Session.

HRS DOCUMENTATION RECORD

Name of Site: Poly-Cycle Industries, Inc. - Jacksonville

Date Prepared: 02/2003

CERCLIS Site ID Number: TXT490012671

TCEQ ID#: 67064

SITE LOCATION:

Street Address of Site: 2405 South Jackson Street (see Figure 1, Site Location Map).

City, County, State: Jacksonville, Cherokee County, Texas

Topographic Map: US Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Map,

Jacksonville East, Tex. 1973 (Ref. 9).

Latitude: 31° 56′ 08″ North **Longitude:** 95° 14′ 54″ West

TCEQ Region: 5

SITE SCORING SUMMARY:

Pathway Scores:

Groundwater Migration Pathway - 3.75 Surface Water Migration Pathway - 9.52 Soil Exposure Pathway - 6.61 Air Migration Pathway - NE

NE - Not Evaluated

HRS SITE SCORE: 6.09

SITE SUMMARY

General Description of the Site:

The site is located at Latitude 31° 56′ 08" N and Longitude 95° 14′ 54" W, at 2505 South Jackson Street, Jacksonville, Cherokee County, Texas (Ref. 7, p. 1). The site is located on the south side of Jacksonville. The site is bordered by State Highway 69 to the east and Loop 456 to the south. Jacksonville Intermediate School is to the west and businesses and residences are located to the north.

Poly-Cycle operated a lead battery recycling operation from 1978 to 1983 (Ref. 7, p. 2). The facility first operated under the name Rocky Point International, then changed its name to Poly-Cycle Industries, with no change in operators. The facility recycled lead from lead acid batteries and cases. The sulfuric acid was drained from the batteries and the lead plates were removed. The sulfuric acid was sent to a hazardous waste disposal firm in Dallas, Texas. The lead plates were sold to a lead smelter. The battery cases were ground and washed to remove the lead and lead sulfate. Four unlined surface impoundments were used to skim the plastic and rubber chips from the surface and sold to plastic recyclers. The lead and lead sulfate sediments on the bottom of the impoundments were sold to a lead smelter (Ref. 7, p. 2).

In 1983, the Texas Department of Health (TDH) found levels of lead exceeding 100,000 mg/Kg in the soil (Ref. 7, p. 2). Poly-Cycle Industries moved contaminated soil from the Jacksonville location to the Poly-Cycle's Tecula location. The TDH conducted post removal sampling and accepted clean closure of the Jacksonville site, based on levels of lead concentrations being generally less than 1,000 ppm. Poly-Cycle Industries then sold the site to Texas Farm Products, Inc. (TFPI). TFPI removed all remaining Poly-Cycle structures and built a feed store "Lone Star Feed Store" (Ref. 7, p. 2).

The Lone Star Feed Store is still active. Current conditions based on the February 24, 2000 soil sample analysis results are Lead contaminated soil ranging from 3,100 mg/Kg to 4,800 mg/Kg. Chips of battery casings are visible in the State Highway 69 roadside ditch, in front of the feed store (Ref. 4, Photograph 4). The nearest PPE to the site is 0.35 miles along the northern drainage pathway, just east of State Highway 69. A Palustrine/Forested/Broad Leaved Deciduous Temporary (PFO1A) wetland follows both banks of the intermittent tributary to Sara Barnett's Lake for a perimeter length of 1.7 miles (Ref. 13), see Figure 3. The PPE to Sara Barnett's lake is 1.14 miles from the site (Fig. 3). Sarah Barnett's 6.4 acre lake is a fishery which is used by Sara Barnett's family, guests, and uninvited fishermen. The lake is also used by Mrs. Barnett's commercial livestock operation. The PPE to perennial Ragsdale Creek is 1.41 miles from the site along the northern drainage pathway. The PPE to perennial Ragsdale Creek is also the PPE to a PFO1A wetland that extends 1.1 miles downstream (Ref. 13), see Figure 3. The closest residence is located approximately 10 feet north of the site. The 1991 SSI sampling event documented 472 mg/Kg of lead at soil sample location SS-14, collected at the property border of the site and the residence located at 2033 South Jackson. Dement Chiropractic and Fitness Center, including an outdoor swimming pool and volleyball court, was recently built on-site, just north of the feed store (Ref. 4, Photographs 5, and 11-13). This new chiropractic and fitness center was built on level I contaminated soil as documented at soil sample SS-18, 163 mg/Kg arsenic and 393 mg/Kg lead (Ref. 7).

Site History:

Rocky Point International began recycling lead from lead acid batteries in 1978 (Ref. 7, p. 2). The process involved the use of four unlined surface impoundments, with lead and lead sulfate accumulating as sediment in the impoundments. In the early 1980's Rocky Point International changed its name to Poly-Cycle Industries, there was no change in the operator.

In 1983 the Texas Department of Health collected soil samples and found levels of lead exceeding 100,000 mg/Kg in the soil (Ref. 7, p. 2). The Texas Department of Health issued a compliance order requiring Poly-Cycle to remove all soil with lead concentrations greater than 1,000 ppm. Poly-Cycle Industries hired Wade & Associates of Austin, Texas, which closed the surface impoundments and moved contaminated soil from the Jacksonville location to Poly-Cycle's Tecula location.

The Texas Department of Health (TDH) conducted a post removal sampling in late 1984 (Ref. 7, p. 2). The sample results indicated that lead concentrations on-site were generally less than 1,000 ppm. In 1985, the Texas Department of Health accepted clean closure of the Jacksonville site. After the Department of Health accepted the Jacksonville site status as clean closed, Texas Farm Products, Inc. (TFPI) purchased the property. TFPI removed all remaining Poly-Cycle structures and built a feed store "Lone Star Feed Store". The Lone Star Feed Store is still active.

Ecology and Environment, Inc. (E&E)conducted a Site Screening Investigation (SSI), for the E.P.A., in March 1991. On-site lead concentrations were generally between 100-400 ppm, except for two samples. One sample had a lead concentration of 3,780 ppm and another was 43,500 ppm (Ref. 7, p. 5).

On February 24, 2000, the TCEQ conducted a soil sampling event. Soil sample analysis results revealed lead contaminated soil ranging from 3,100 mg/Kg to 4,800 mg/Kg (Ref. 3, 4, and 5). The area of observed releases of lead and arsenic contamination, as detected in the March 1991 SSI Report, are shown in Figure 5.

Notification letters to potential responsible parties were issued in August 2000. Exide Corporation, GNB Technology, and RSR Corporation expressed interest in working with the TCEQ's Voluntary Cleanup Program to remediate the Jacksonville and Tecula Poly-Cycle sites. On September 30, 2000, Exide Corporation acquired GNB and was renamed Exide Technologies. On April 15, 2002, Exide Technologies filed for a Chapter 11 bankruptcy (Ref. 8).

Dement Chiropractic and Fitness Center was recently built on-site, just north of the feed store (Ref. 4, Photographs 5, and 11-13).

REFERENCES

Reference

Number Description of the Reference

- 1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 40CFR Part 300, *Hazard Ranking System*, Appendix A, 55 FR 51583, December 1990.
- 2. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, *Superfund Chemical Data Matrix (SCDM)*. June 1996.
- 3. Hazelwood, Gary, Superfund Site Discovery and Assessment Program, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Superfund Site Discovery and Eligibility Determination Checklist, February 24, 2000. 5 pages.
- 4. Hazelwood, Gary, Superfund Site Discovery and Assessment Program, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Photograph Pages, February 24, 2000, January 28, 2003, and January 29, 2003. 8 pages.
- 5. Garcia, Roland, Lower Colorado River Authority Environmental Laboratory Services, to Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, Sample Analysis Results for Four Soil Samples. March 10, 2000. 18 pages.
- 6. Hazelwood, Gary, Site Discovery and Assessment Program, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, to Kerry Cummins, City of Jacksonville, Telephone Memo and Map of Drinking Water Sources. March 27, 2000. 2 pages.
- 7. Ecology and Environment, Inc., to The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Prescore Documentation Package for Polycycle Industries Jacksonville. August 1995. 25 pages.
- 8. Vickery, Mark R., Deputy Director, Office of Permitting, Remediation & Registration, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, to Ronald R. Del Vento, Chief Bankruptcy & Collection Division, Office of the Attorney General. Letter. July 1, 2002. 4 pages.
- 9. U.S. Geological Survey, Jacksonville East, Texas Quadrangle, 7.5 Minute Series. Topographic Map. 1973. 1 page.
- 10. Griffith, Jr, P.E., L. B., Surveillance and Enforcement Division Director, Texas Department of Health, to Mr. Wesley Ray, President, Poly-Cycle Industries, Inc. Certification of Closure Letter. December 8, 1984. 1 page.
- 11. Texas Water Development Board, <u>Report 150, Ground-Water Conditions in Anderson, Cherokee, Freestone, and Henderson Counties, Texas.</u> August 1972. 10 pages.

REFERENCES CONTINUED

Reference

Number Description of the Reference

- 12. Hazelwood, Gary, Site Discovery and Assessment Program, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, to Sara Barnett, Telephone Memo. January 29, 2003. 1 page.
- 13. U.S. Department of the Interior. National Wetlands Inventory Maps, Jacksonville East, Tex., 7.5 Minute Topographic Quadrangles. 1980. 1 page

WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING HRS SITE SCORE

		<u>S</u>	S^2
1.	Ground Water Migration Pathway Score (S_{gw}) (from Table 3-1, line 13)	3.75	14.06
2a.	Surface Water Overland/Flood Migration Component (from Table 4-1, line 30)	9.52	90.63
2b.	Ground Water to Surface Water Migration Component (from Table 4-25, line 28)	NE	
2c.	Surface Water Migration Pathway Score (S_{sw}) Enter the larger of lines 2a and 2b as th pathway score.	9.52	90.63
3.	Soil Exposure Pathway Score (S _s) (from Table 5-1, line 22)	6.61	43.69
4.	Air Migration Pathway Score (S _a) (from Table 6-1, line 12)	NE	
5.	Total of $S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_s^2 + S_a^2$		148.38
6.	HRS Site Score Divide the value on line 5 by 4 and take the square root	<u>6.09</u>	

TABLE 3-1 GROUND WATER MIGRATION PATHWAY SCORESHEET

		Factor Categories and Factors	Maximum Value	<u>Value</u> <u>Assigned</u>		
Likelihood of Release to an Aquifer						
1.	Observ	ed Release	550			
2.	Potenti	al to Release				
	2a.	Containment (Ref. 1, Sec. 3.1.2.1) (Hazardous substance migration and no liner)	10	<u>10</u>		
	2b.	Net Precipitation (Ref. 1, Figure 3-2)	10	<u>6</u>		
	2c.	Depth to Aquifer (Ref.1, Sec. 3.1.2.3) (14 feet)	5	<u>5</u>		
	2d.	Travel Time (Ref.1, Sec. 3.1.2.4) [(aquifer is < 10 feet from hazardous substances) (14' minus SSI 6' deep samples = 8')	35	<u>35</u>		
	2e.	Potential to Release				
		(Lines $2a(2b + 2c + 2d)$) (Ref.1, Sec. 3.1.2.5)	500	<u>460</u>		
3.	Likelih	ood of Release				
	(Highe	r of Line 1 and 2e) (Ref.1, Sec. 3.1.3)	500	<u>460</u>		
Wast	e Charac	<u>eteristics</u>				
4.	Toxicit 0.01)	y/Mobility (Ref.1, Sec. 3.2.1) (Arsenic&Lead: Toxicity = 10,000 & Mobility =	*	<u>100</u>		
5.	Hazardous Waste Quantity (Ref. 1, 2.4.2.2) (Hazardous constituent quantity not adequately determined and value from Table 2-6 would be less than 100)					
6.	Waste	Characteristics (Ref. 1, Table 2-7, using 1,000)	100	<u>6</u>		
Targ	<u>ets</u>					
7.	Neares	t Well (Ref. 1, Sec. 3.3.1) (1.36 Miles)	50	<u>5</u>		
8.	Popula	tion:				
	8a.	Level I Concentrations	**			
	8b.	Level II Concentrations	**			
	8c.	Potential Contamination (Ref. 1, Sec. 3.3.2.4) ([1-2 miles-9600people]&[3-4 miles-2400 people]; Table 3-12 value=1,070/10=factor value=107)	**	<u>107</u>		
	8d.	Population (Lines 8a + 8b + 8c)(Ref. 1, Sec. 3.3.2.5)	**	<u>107</u>		
9.		ces (Ref. 1, Sec. 3.3.3) (Probable target, but not documented and significantly increase the site score)	5	<u>0</u>		
10.	Wellhe	ad Protection Area (Ref. 1, Sec. 3.3.4)	20	<u>0</u>		

^{*} Maximum value applies to waste characteristics category

** Maximum value not applicable

*** Do not round to the nearest integer

TABLE 3-1 GROUND WATER MIGRATION PATHWAY SCORESHEET

	Factor Categories and Factors Continued	<u>Maximum</u> <u>Value</u>	<u>Maximum</u> <u>Value</u>	
<u>Targ</u>	ets Continued			
11.	Targets (Lines $7 + 8d + 9 + 10$) (Ref. 1, Sec. 3.3.5) (5+107+0+0)	**	<u>112</u>	
Grou	and Water Migration Score for an Aquifer			
12.	Aquifer Score			
	((Lines 3 x 6 x 11)/82,500)*** (Ref. 1, Sec. 3.4) [(460x6x112)/82,500]	100	<u>3.75</u>	
Ground Water Migration Pathway Score				
13.	Pathway Score (S _{gw}), (Highest value from Line 12 for all aquifers evaluated)*** (Ref. 1, Sec. 3.5)	100	3.75	

^{*} Maximum value applies to waste characteristics category

** Maximum value not applicable

*** Do not round to the nearest integer

TABLE 4-1 SURFACE WATER OVERLAND/FLOOD MIGRATION COMPONENT SCORESHEET

		Factor Categories and Factors	Maximum <u>Value</u>	<u>Value</u> <u>Assigned</u>				
Likeli	Drinking Water Threat							
1.	Likelihood of Release 1. Observed Release 550							
2.	Poten	tial to Release by Overland Flow:						
	2a.	Containment (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.2.1.2.1.1) (No maintained cover or run-on or off management)	10	<u>10</u>				
	2b.	Runoff (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.2.1.2.1.2) (4.25" 2-yr/24hr; <50 acres; soil group D)	25	<u>1</u>				
	2c.	Distance to Surface Water (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.2.1.2.1.3) (1.14 miles)	25	<u>6</u>				
	2d.	Potential to Release by Overland Flow						
		(Lines 2a x (2b + 2c)) (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.2.1.2.1.4)	500	<u>70</u>				
3.	Poten	tial to Release by Flood:						
	3a.	Containment (Flood) (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.2.1.2.2.1) (No Containment Maintained)	10	<u>10</u>				
	3b.	Flood Frequency (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.2.1.2.2.2) (Some source located in drainage ditches subject to annual flooding)	50	<u>50</u>				
	3c.	Potential to Release by Flood						
		(Lines 3a x 3b) (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.2.1.2.2.3)	500	<u>500</u>				
4.	Poten	tial to Release (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.2.1.2.3)						
	(Lines	s 2d + 3c, subject to a maximum of 500) Contamination	500	<u>500</u>				
5.	Likeli	shood to Release						
	(High	er of Lines 1 and 4) (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.2.1.3)	550	<u>500</u>				
Was	Waste Characteristics							
6.		ity/Persistence (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.2.2.1) (Toxicity Arsenic & Lead = 10,000/ tence = 1)	*	10,000				
7.	quant	Hazardous Waste Quantity (Ref. 1, Sec. 2.4.2.2) (hazardous constituent * 10 quantity not adequately determined, targets subject to potential contamination, Table 2-6 value < 100)						
8.	Waste	e Characteristics (Ref.1, Table 2-7 for 1X10 ⁵)	100	<u>18</u>				

^{*} Maximum value applies to waste characteristics category

^{**} Maximum value not applicable

TABLE 4-1 SURFACE WATER OVERLAND/FLOOD MIGRATION COMPONENT SCORESHEET

	Factor Categories and Factors	Maximum <u>Value</u>	<u>Value</u> <u>Assigned</u>
	Drinking Water Threat Continued		
Targe		50	NE
9.	Nearest Intake	50	<u>NE</u>
10.	Population:		
	10a. Level I Concentrations	**	
	10b. Level II Concentrations	**	
	10c. Potential Contamination	**	
	10d. Population (Lines 10a + 10b + 10c)	**	
11.	Resources (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.2.3.3) Watering of commercial livestock, Ref. 12	5	<u>5</u>
12.	Targets (Lines 9 + 10d + 11) (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.2.3.4)	**	<u>5</u>
<u>Drin</u>	king Water Threat Score		
13.	Drinking Water Threat Score ((Lines 5 x 8 x 12)/82,500, subject to a maximum of 100) (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.2.4) (500x18x5)/82,500=0.55	100	0.55
	Human Food Chain Threat		
<u>Like</u> l	ihood of Release		
14.	Likelihood of Release (Same value as Line 5) (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.3.1)	550	<u>500</u>
Wast	e Characteristics		
15.	Toxicity/Persistence/Bioaccumulation (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.3.2.1.4) (Lead -10,000/1.0/50.0)	*	500,000
16.	Hazardous Waste Quantity (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.3.2.2) (Same as line 7)	*	<u>10</u>
17.	Waste Characteristics (Ref. 1, Table 2-7, using 5 X 10 ⁶)	1,000	<u>32</u>
<u>Targ</u>	<u>ets</u>		
18.	Food Chain Individual (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.3.3.1) Potential impact to a fishery, Sara Barnett's 6.4 acre lake, 20 points x dilution factor 1.0	50	<u>20</u>
19.	Population:		
	19a. Level I Concentrations	**	
	19b. Level II Concentration	**	
	19c. Potential Human Food Chain Contamination (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.3.3.2.3) (>1lb=0.03/dilution=1)/10	**	0.003
	19d. Population (Lines 19a + 19b + 19c) (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.3.3.2.4)	**	0.003

TABLE 4-1 SURFACE WATER OVERLAND/FLOOD MIGRATION COMPONENT SCORESHEET

	Factor Categories and Factors	<u>Maximum</u> <u>Value</u>	<u>Value</u> <u>Assigned</u>		
	Drinking Water Threat Continued				
<u>Targ</u>	ets Continued				
20.	Targets				
	(Value from Lines 18 + 19d) (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.3.3.3)	**	20.003		
<u>Hum</u>	Human Food Chain Threat Score				
21.	Human Food Chain Threat Score ((Lines 14 x 17 x 20)/82,500 subject to a maximum of 100) (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.3.4) (500x32x20.003)/82,500=3.88	100	3.88		

^{*} Maximum value applies to waste characteristics category

^{**} Maximum value not applicable

TABLE 4-1 SURFACE WATER OVERLAND/FLOOD MIGRATION COMPONENT SCORESHEET

Factor Categories and Factors			Maximum <u>Value</u>	<u>Value</u> <u>Assigned</u>	
		<u>Environme</u>	ntal Threat		
<u>Likelih</u>	ood of R	<u>telease</u>			
22.		ood of Release (Same Values x 8 x 12)/82,500, subject	ue as Line 5) (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.4.1) to a maximum of 100)	550	<u>500</u>
Waste Cha	racterist	tics _			
23.	•	tem Toxicity/Persistence/ 1 0/5,000)	Bioaccumulation (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.4.2.1) (Lead-	*	5,000,000
24.	Hazard	ous Waste Quantity	(Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.4.2.2) (Same as line 7)	*	<u>10</u>
25.		Characteristics (Ref. 1, Tale 2-7)	able 2-7, using 5x10 ⁷)(Lead- 7)	1,000	<u>56</u>
Targets					
26.	Sensitiv	ve Environment:			
	26a.	Level I Concentrations		**	
	26b.	Level II Concentrations		**	
(I)		to PFO1A wetland on north	(Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.4.3.1.3) d. Listed Endangered=75(Ref. 1, Table 4-23)] + ern drainage pathway perimeter =1.7 miles) + h=1.05miles)]=75 points (Ref.1, Sec. 4.1.4.3.1.1)	**	<u>15</u>
	26d.	Sensitive Environments			
		(Lines $26a + 26b + 26c$)	(Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.4.3.1.4)	**	<u>15</u>
27.	Targets	(Value from Line 26d) (R	ef. 1, Sec. 4.1.4.3.1.4)	**	<u>15</u>
Environmo	ental Thr	reat Score			
28.	Enviror	nmental Threat Score (Ref.	1, Sec. 4.1.4.4) (500x56x15)/82,500		
	((Lines	22 x 25 x 27)/82,500, sub	ject to a maximum of 60)	60	<u>5.09</u>
Surfac	Surface Water Overland/Flood Migration Component Score for a Watershed				
29.	WATE	RSHED SCORE*** (Ref.	1, Sec. 4.1.5)		
	(Lines	13 + 21 + 28, subject to a 1	maximum of 100) (0.55+3.88+5.09)	100	<u>9.52</u>
	Surfac	ee Water Overland/Flood	Migration Component Score		
30.		nent Score (S _{of})*** (Higher ed, subject to a maximum	est score from Line 29 for all watersheds of 100) (Ref. 1, Sec. 4.1.6)	100	9.52

^{*} Maximum value applies to waste characteristics category

** Maximum value not applicable

*** Do not round to the nearest integer

TABLE 5-1 SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORESHEET

		Maximum <u>Value</u>	<u>Value</u> <u>Assigned</u>	
		Resident Population Threat		
Likelihood	of Expo	<u>sure</u>		
1.		nood of Exposure (Ref. 1, Sec. 5.1.1) Observed contamination on tial property border and within 10 feet of residence at 2033 S. Jackson	550	<u>550</u>
Waste Cl	naracter	istics .		
2.	Toxici	ty (Ref. 1, Sec. 2.4.1.1) Lead=10,000	*	<u>10,000</u>
3.		dous Waste Quantity (Ref. 1, Sec. 2.4.2.2) the hazardous quantity is not ately determined, therefore using value of 10.	*	<u>10</u>
4.	Waste	Characteristics (Ref. 1, Table 2-7) 10,000x10=1x10 ⁵	100	<u>18</u>
Targets				
5.		ent Individual (Ref. 1, Sec. 5.1.3.1) Resident individual subject to Level II tration)	50	<u>45</u>
6.	Reside	ent Population:		
	6a.	Level I Concentrations	**	<u>0</u>
	6b.	Level II Concentrations (Minimum of one resident at 2033 S. Jackson)	**	1
	6c.	Resident Population (Lines 6a + 6b)	**	<u>1</u>
7.		ers (Ref. 1, Table 5-4) 1 to 100 workers=value of 5. Seven workers at Lone end plus unknown number of workers at Dement Chiropractic and Fitness.	15	<u>5</u>
8.	Resou	rces	5	0
9.	Terres	trial Sensitive Environments	***	0
10.	Targets (Lines $5 + 6c + 7 + 8 + 9$) 45+1+5+0+0=51		**	<u>51</u>
Resident	Populati	ion Threat Score		
11.	Reside	ent Population Threat (Lines 1 x 4 x 10) 550x18x51=504,900	**	504,900

^{*} Maximum value applies to waste characteristics category
** Maximum value not applicable

^{***} No specific maximum value applies to factor. However, pathway score based solely on sensitive environments is limited to maximum of 60.

**** Do not round to the nearest integer

TABLE 5-1 SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORESHEET

	Factor Categories and Factors			<u>Value</u> <u>Assigned</u>
NEARBY	POPULATION THREAT			
Likelihoo	d of Exposure			
12.	Attractiveness/Accessibility (Ref. 1, Table 5-6) Accessible with fi swimming pool, and volleyball court on-site.	tness center,	100	<u>75</u>
13.	Area of Contamination (Ref. 1, Table 5-7) 1162'x422'=490,364, p measurement, see Figure 5.	er ArcView	100	80
14.	Likelihood of Exposure (Ref. 1, Table 5-8)		500	<u>375</u>
Waste Cha	aracteristics			
15.	Toxicity (Ref. 1, Sec. 5.2.2.1) Arsenic and Lead= 10,000		*	10,000
16.	Hazardous Waste Quantity (Ref. 1, Sec. 2.4.2.2)		*	<u>10</u>
17.	Waste Characteristics (Ref. 1, Table 2-7) 10,000x10=1x10 ⁵		100	<u>18</u>
Targets				
18.	Nearby Individual		1	<u>0</u>
19.	Population Within 1-Mile [0-0.25 mi. (1200) =41]+[0.25-0.5 mi. (2000) =10] = 58/10		**	<u>6</u>
20.	Targets (Lines 18 + 19)		**	<u>6</u>
Nearby P	opulation Threat Score			
21.	Nearby Population Threat (375x18x58)=40,500		**	40,500
	(Lines 14 x 17 x 20)			
Soil Expo	sure Pathway Score			
22.	Soil Exposure Pathway Score *** (504,900+40,500)/82,500=6.61 (S ₃)(Lines $11 + 21$)/82,500, subject to a maximum of 100)		100	<u>6.61</u>

Maximum value applies to waste characteristics category
 ** Maximum value not applicable
 *** Do not round to the nearest integer